

3.1.5 Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need

3.1.5.1 Overview

There are 69 native mammal species in Wisconsin. Of these 69 species, 14 (20%) have been identified as Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Wisconsin. Two of these species are listed as Threatened or Endangered at the state or federal level. Species of Greatest Conservation Need are divided into three groups based on their relative abundance in Wisconsin in comparison with the rest of their range. These divisions address the global role Wisconsin plays in the conservation of these species but leave options open for management.

Table 3-5. Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Species with a high relative abundance in Wisconsin compared with the rest of their range		
<i>No mammal species in this category.</i>		
Species with a moderate to low relative abundance in Wisconsin compared with the rest of their range		
Common Name	Scientific Name	Page
Water Shrew	<i>Sorex palustris</i>	3-274
Northern Long-eared Bat	<i>Myotis septentrionalis</i>	3-276
Silver-haired Bat	<i>Lasionycteris noctivagans</i>	3-279
Eastern Red Bat	<i>Lasiurus borealis</i>	3-281
Hoary Bat	<i>Lasiurus cinereus</i>	3-283
Franklin's Ground Squirrel	<i>Spermophilus franklinii</i>	3-287
Northern Flying Squirrel	<i>Glaucomys sabrinus</i>	3-289
Prairie Vole	<i>Microtus ochrogaster</i>	3-291
Woodland Vole	<i>Microtus pinetorum</i>	3-293
Woodland Jumping Mouse	<i>Napaeozapus insignis</i>	3-294
Gray Wolf	<i>Canis lupus</i>	3-296
American Marten	<i>Martes americana</i>	3-299
Species with a very low relative abundance in Wisconsin compared with the rest of their range		
Common Name	Scientific Name	Page
White-tailed Jackrabbit	<i>Lepus townsendii</i>	3-285
Moose	<i>Alces alces</i>	3-301

3.1.5.2 General Threats, Issues and Conservation Actions

General Threats and Issues Affecting Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Habitat conversion is the primary threat facing mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Wisconsin. Threats to habitat vary widely, from loss of native prairie habitat due to agricultural cultivation, roads, and housing; to changes in forest habitats through management activities that decrease the extent of older forest, nursery trees, or woody debris, or open the forest canopy. While these habitat modifications are a threat to some species, they are a benefit to others. However, old forests with abundant features like large woody debris are scarcer in today's landscape. Non-native invasive plant species can also degrade and simplify habitats, such as invasion of prairies by woody shrubs such as buckthorn. Pollution from a variety of sources is an important threat to our mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need, including chemicals that can negatively impact mammal species themselves as well as water quality and possibly invertebrate prey species. Changes in ecological processes are also important for many species, including succession of grassland habitats to shrubland and woodland due to lack of fire on the landscape. Relatively new activities on the landscape may pose a threat to some groups of species. For example, wind turbines may result in significant mortality of a variety of bat Species of Greatest Conservation Need. Specific threats affecting individual mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need are listed on the following pages.

General Conservation Actions for Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need use a wide variety of habitats from sand prairies to old growth forests to streams to caves; managing and protecting these diverse habitats from fragmentation, degradation, and destruction are primary actions proposed for conserving mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Wisconsin. This will include a wide variety of efforts that includes protecting bat hibernacula (caves and abandoned mines), managing prairies to reduce impacts of invasive plant species and natural succession, and promoting forest management practices that protect summer tree roosting areas. Changes in laws and policies are needed to increase protection for both species and their habitats. Research is another area in need of critical action for mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need—more information on status, distribution, population trends, genetics, habitat use and requirements, effective survey techniques, and other factors is needed to adequately and more effectively work to conserve many species and their habitats. Specific conservation actions proposed for individual mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need are listed on the following pages.

References for Specific Threats, Issues and Conservation Actions for Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need

The following references, along with other sources, personal observations and unpublished data, provide background or justification for specific threats and conservation actions listed on the following pages for the individual mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need.

- Bakker, V.J. and K. Hastings. 2002. Den trees used by northern flying squirrels (*Glaucomys sabrinus*) in southeastern Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 80(9):1623-1633.
- Banfield, A.W.F. 1975. *The Mammals of Canada*. University of Toronto Press, Toronto, ON. 438 pp.
- Bat Conservation International. 2001. *Bats in Eastern Woodlands*. 310 pages. Available in PDF format at <http://www.batcon.org/nabcp/newsite/forrep.pdf>
- Bissonette, J.A., R.J. Frederickson, and B.J. Tucker. 1989. Pine marten: A case for landscape level management. *Transactions of the North American Wildlife and Natural Resources Conference*. 54:89-101.
- Bock, C.E., K.T. Vierling, S.L. Haire, J.D. Boone, and W.W. Merkle. 2002. Patterns of rodent abundance on open-space grasslands in relation to suburban edges. *Conservation Biology* 16(6): 1653-1658.
- Burnett, C.D. 1989. Bat rabies in Illinois: 1965 to 1986. *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* 25: 10-19.
- Caceres, M. C., and M. J. Pybus. 1993. Status of the Northern Long-eared Bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*) in Alberta. Alberta Environmental Protection, Wildlife Management Division, Wildlife Status Report No. 3, Edmonton, AB. 19pp.
- Campbell, L. A., J. G. Hallett, and M. A. O'Connell. 1996. Conservation of bats in managed forests: use of roosts by *Lasionycteris noctivagans*. *Journal of Mammalogy*. 69:821-825.
- Carey, A.B. 2000. Effects of new forest management strategies on squirrel populations. *Ecological Applications* 10(1): 248-253.
- Carey, A.B. 1995. Sciurids in Pacific Northwest managed and old-growth forests. *Ecological Applications* 5(3): 648-661.

- Choromanski-Norris, J., E.K. Fritzell, and A.B. Sargeant. 1989. Movements and habitat use of Franklin's ground squirrels in duck-nesting habitat. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 53(2): 324-331.
- Churchfield, S. 1992. *The Natural History of Shrews*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York. 192 pp.
- Clark, 1981. Bats and environmental contaminants: a review. United States Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Special Science Report: Wildlife, 235:1-23.
- Clark, 1988. How sensitive are bats to insecticides? *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, 16:399-403.
- Clark, D. R. Jr., and A. Krynsky. 1978. Organochlorine residues and reproduction in the little brown bat: Laurel, Maryland, June 1976. *Pesticides Monitoring Journal*, 12(3):113-116.
- Clark, D. R., Jr., T. H. Kunz, and T. E. Kaiser. 1978a. Insecticides applied to a nursery colony of little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*): lethal concentrations in brain tissues. *Journal of Mammalogy*, 59: 84-91.
- Clark, D. R., Jr., R. K. LaVal, and D. M. Swineford. 1978b. Dieldrin-induced mortality in an endangered species, the gray bat (*Myotis grisescens*). *Science*, 199:1353-1359.
- DeSanty-Combes, J. 2003. Have you seen a Franklin's? *Missouri Conservationist* 64(4): 21-23.
- Dimond, J. B., and J. A. Sherburne. 1969. Persistence of DDT in wild populations of small mammals. *Nature* 221: 486-3.
- Getz, L.L.; Hofmann, J.E.; McGuire, B.; Dolan, T.W., III. 2001. Twenty-five years of population fluctuations of *Microtus ochrogaster* and *M. pennsylvanicus* in three habitats in east-central Illinois. *Journal of Mammalogy* 82(1):22-34.
- Greenwood, A., S. Churchfield, and C. Hickey. 2002. Geographical distribution and habitat occurrence of the water shrew (*Neomys fodiens*) in the Weald of south-east England. *Mammal Review* 32(1): 40-50.
- Hargis, C.D., J.A. Bissonette, and D.L. Turner. 1999. The influence of forest fragmentation and landscape pattern on American martens. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 36:153-172.
- Hazard, E. B. 1982. *The Mammals of Minnesota*. University of Minnesota Press, Minneapolis. 280 pp.
- Jackson, H.H.T. 1961. *Mammals of Wisconsin*. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, WI. 504 pp.
- Johnson, G. D., and E. Arnett. 2004. A Bibliography of Bat Interactions with Wind Turbines. Available at <http://www.batcon.org/>
- Johnson, S.A., Choromanski-Norris, J. 1992. Reduction in the eastern limit of the range of the Franklin's ground squirrel (*Spermophilus franklinii*). *American Midland Naturalist* 128(2):325-331.
- Kaufman, Donald W., G. A. Kaufman, and B. K. Clark. 2000. Small mammals in native and anthropogenic habitats in the Lake Wilson area of north-central Kansas. *Southwestern Naturalist* 45(1): 45-60.
- Kostova, T., J. Kercher, and T. Carlsen. 2004. Individual-based spatially-explicit model of an herbivore and its resource: the effect of habitat reduction and fragmentation. *Comptes Rendus Biologies* 327(3):261-276.

- Kurta, A. 1995. Mammals of the Great Lakes Region. Rev. ed. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI. 376 pp.
- Kunz, and T. E. Kaiser. 1978. Insecticides applied to a nursery colony of little brown bats (*Myotis lucifugus*): lethal concentrations in brain tissues. Journal of Mammalogy, 59:84-91.
- Martin, J. M., E.J. Heske, and J.E. Hofmann. 2003. Franklin's ground squirrel (*Spermophilus franklinii*) in Illinois: a declining prairie mammal? American Midland Naturalist 150(1): 130-138.
- Miller, D. H. and L. L. Getz. 1973. Factors influencing local distribution and species diversity of forest small mammals in New England. Canadian Journal of Zoology 55: 806-814.
- Mohr, Carl O. 1943. Weight and length of white-tailed jackrabbits in Blue Earth County, Minnesota. Journal of Mammalogy 24(4):504-506.
- NatureServe. 2005. NatureServe Explorer: An online encyclopedia of life [web application]. Version 4.2. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. Available <http://www.natureserve.org/explorer>.
- O'Connell, A. F., Jr.; Servello, F. A.; Higgins, J.; Halteman, W. 2001. Status and habitat relationships of northern flying squirrels on Mount Desert Island, Maine. Northeastern Naturalist 8(2): 123-136.
- Ovaska, K. 1982. Spatial patterns and their relation to social organization in the woodland jumping mouse, *Napaeozapus insignis*. Acta Zoologica Fennica, No. 171; Proceedings of the Third International Theriological Congress, Helsinki, 15-20 August 1982. 3. Symposia On Structure And Function Of Receptors, Control Of Reproduction, Physiology, Ethology, pp. 301-303.
- Pagels, J. F., M. L. Fies and R. Glasgow. 1991. Appalachian water shrew, *Sorex palustris punctulatus* Hooper: recovery plan. Virginia Dept. of Game and Inland Fisheries. Richmond, VA.
- Parker, L.A. 1943. Wildlife Restoration and Management Planning. Parasitology Division, Minn. Div. of Game and Fish, Jan. 13-21, Superior National Forest. Project Number: Minn. W-011-R-02/Wk.Pl.05 /Qu2.
- Payer, D.C. 1999. Influences of timber harvesting and trapping on habitat selection and demography of marten. Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Maine, Orono.
- Pergams, O.R.W.; Nyberg, D. 2001. Museum collections of mammals corroborate the exceptional decline of prairie habitat in the Chicago region. Journal of Mammalogy 82(4): 984-992.
- Potvin, F., L. Belanger, and K. Lowell. 2000. Marten habitat selection in a clearcut boreal landscape. Conservation Biology 14:844-853.
- Rose, R.K., R.E. Everton, J.F. Stankavich and J.W. Walke. 1990. Small mammals in the Great Dismal Swamp of Virginia and North Carolina. Brimleyana 16: 83-101.
- Sasse, D. B., and P. J. Pekins. 1996. Summer roosting ecology of Northern Long-eared Bats (*Myotis septentrionalis*) in the White Mountain National Forest. Pp. 91-101 in R.M.R. Barclay and R.M. Brigham (eds.). Bats and forests symposium.
- Schmidt, C. A. 2003. Conservation Assessment for the Northern Myotis in the Black Hills National Forest South Dakota and Wyoming. USDA Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Region, Black Hills National Forest, Custer, South Dakota.

- Schwartz, C. W., and E. R. Schwartz. 1981. *The Wild Mammals of Missouri*. University of Missouri Press, Columbia, MO. 356 pp.
- Sheffield, S.R.; Lochmiller, R.L. 2001. Effects of field exposure to diazinon on small mammals inhabiting a semienclosed prairie grassland ecosystem. I. Ecological and reproductive effects. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry* 20(2): 284-296.
- Strickland, M.A. 1994. Harvest management of fishers and American martens. Pages 149-164 in S.W. Buskirk, A.S. Harestad, M.G. Raphael, and R.A. Powell, eds. *Martens, sables, and fishers: biology and conservation*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.
- Taylor, P. 2000. Major player. *National Wildlife* 38(4): 46-51.
- Thompson, I.D., and A.S. Harestad. 1994. Effects of logging on American martens, and models for habitat management. Pages 355-367 in S.W. Buskirk, A.S. Harestad, M.G. Raphael, and R.A. Powell, eds. *Martens, sables, and fishers: biology and conservation*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, NY.
- Waters, J.R. and C.J. Zabel. 1995. Northern flying squirrel densities in fir forests of northeastern California. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 59(4): 858-866.
- Weigl, P. D. 1978. Resource overlap, interspecific interactions and the distribution of the flying squirrels, *Glaucomys volans* and *G. sabrinus*. *American Midland Naturalist* 100:83-96.
- Wilson, T. M. and Carey, A. B. 1996. Observations of weasels in second-growth Douglas-fir forests in the Puget Trough, Washington. *Northwestern Naturalist*, 77(2): 35-39.
- Wisconsin DNR. 1999e. Wisconsin wolf management plan. <http://dnr.wi.gov/org/land/er/publications/wolfplan/toc.htm>. Accessed 2004 Apr 14.
- Wisconsin DNR. 2004g. Wisconsin 2004 Small Game Hunting Regulations. PUB-WM-430. Bureau of Wildlife Management, Wisconsin DNR, Madison.
- Wright, J.L. 1999. Winter home range and habitat use by sympatric fishers (*Martes pennanti*) and American martens (*Martes americana*) in northern Wisconsin. M.S. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point, Stevens Point, WI.